	FILED CLERK OF COURT	
,	ULERA VI VVVIII	
IN THE SUPERIO	R COURT OF GUAM 2025 JAN 15 PH 12: 33	
	SUPERIOR COURT	
THE PEOPLE OF GUAM,	OF GUAM	
Plaintiff,	CRIMINAL CASE NO. CF0778-24	
vs.	) ) DECISION AND ORDER: ) ON DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE MOTION	
SICHIRO SEINAR,	FOR BAIL REDETERMINATION HEARING	
Defendant.		
This matter came before MAGISTRATE JUDGE SEAN E. BROWN on January 14, 2025		
on a hearing to address Defendant's Ex Parte Motion for Bail Redetermination Hearing. Attorney		
Christian Spotanski represented Defendant.	Assistant Attorney General Gloria Rudolph	
represented the People of Guam. The Court rule	ed from the bench denying the motion but lowered	
the required cash bail amount. After having hea	rd the Parties' arguments, considering the Parties'	
pleadings and the record, the Court now issues the following written Decision and Order		
pleadings and the record, the Court now iss	sues the following written Decision and Orde	

documenting the ruling.

## BACKGROUND

Defendant filed the motion on January 6, 2025. The People of Guam then filed an Opposition on January 9, 2025.

## DISCUSSION

## I. Courts Considerations

The Court considered a request by the Defense for any form of release outside of incarceration.

The Court looks to statutory guidance provided under 8 GCA §§ 40.15 and 40.20 when deciding the issue of release conditions. In consideration of the request, the Court learned that the Victim was very young in age and facts against the Defendant are very strong for trial. The Court also learned that Defendant is facing a range of 5-20 years if convicted.

As a result, the Court will not Grant Defendant's release at this time. At the hearing, the
Court indicated that Electronic Monitoring, if approved by probation, would be appropriate for

- Page 1 of 2 -

1	Defendant's release. The Court would also consider Third Party Custodian (TPC) based release
2	at a residence further away from the Victim. The Court also reduced the amount of cash bail
3	required to \$5000 due to the lack of criminal history for the Defendant. The Court would be
4	satisfied with Defendant's ability to return to Court and the Victim's safety if Defendant paid the
5	cash bail or has two third-party custodians.
6	Defendant shall continue to have no form of contact with the Victim.
7	CONCLUSION
8	The Court, therefore, DENIES Defendant's Motion.
9	So ORDERED this 15th day of January, 2025.
10	$\mathcal{A}$
11	HONORABLE SEAN E. BROWN
12	MAGISTRATE JUDGE, SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM
13	~
14	
15	2
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	SERVICE VIA EMAIL
24	l acknowledge that an electronic copy of the original was e-mailed to:
25	AG, POSC
26	Date: 1/5/25 Time: 12:35
27	Antonio Deputy Clerk, Superior Court of Guam
28	
	People v. Seinar, Decision and Order

-