



JUDICIARY OF GUAM
Administrative Office of the Courts



120 West OBrien Drive Hagatna Guam 96910
Tel: (671) 475-3544
Fax: (671) 477-3184

Katherine A. Maraman
Chief Justice

Alberto C. Lamorena III
Presiding Judge

John Q. Lizama
Administrator of the Courts

Gloria J. Long
Procurement & Fac. Mgt. Administrator

August 10, 2017

Memorandum:

To: All Prospective Bidders

From: Acting Administrator of the Courts

Subject: **Amendment No. 1**
Ref: IFB 17-10-Servers, Storage Attached Network (SAN), & Switches

This serves as a response to the questions submitted to my office:

1. Question: Relative to the Bid Invitation on page 2, bid specifies that the bid submission date is April 14, 2017. Should this date read "August 14, 2017: which is specified on the "Date Due" specified under the General Information on page 6 of the bid.

Response: The date of April 14, 2017 was a typo the correct submission Date for IFB 17-10 is at **2:00 p.m. on August 14, 2017.**

2. Question: Relative to "B. Specifications & Bid Price" on pages 8-10 all items are listed as item No. 1. Should each item be a separate item No.? If no, is this an all or none bid?

Response: This was a typo all items should be a separate number and should read as follows:

1. Server
2. Storage Attached Network (SAN) System
3. Fiber Channel Switch
4. Network Switch

3. Question: Relative to Item No. 1 Server: Bid specifies “2 x Intel Ethernet X450 Dual Port 10GBASE-T Server Adapter” Intel does not have an X450 NIC, is this specification supposed to be intel Ethernet X540? If not, Please clarify the X450 requirement?

4. Response: This was a typo the correct description should read:
2 X Intel Ethernet X540 Dual Port 10GBASE-T Server Adapter.

5. Question: Relative to Item No. 1 Sever: Bid specifies “Legacy BIOS with MRB Partition.” Is this specification supposed to be Master Boot Record (MBR)? If not, please clarify what a MRB Partition is.

Response: This was a typo the correct description should read:
Legacy BIOS with MBR (Master Boot Record)

6. Question: Relative to item No.1, Network Switch: Bid specifies “2 x 24-Ports” with a quantity of two (2) each. Please clarify if the Judiciary of Guam requires two (2) “2 x 24-Ports” switches, totaling 4 physical switches, or two (2) 48-ports switches? Please provide reference switch model.

Response: As corrected in question no. 2 this will be in reference to bid item number 4, Network Switch. The Judiciary will require two (2) switches with each switch having 22 10G Base T ports and 2 10GB SFP Ports. This configuration will have an outcome of 24 ports per switch.

7. Question: Please clarify what existing switches the requested switch will be Connecting to, or confirm if the existing switch supports “active” or “passive” optics.

Response: This switch will be connected to the Judiciary’s existing HP ProCurve 2810-48G Switch.

8. Question: We respectfully request a two week extension in the bid submission deadline to allow for adequate bid preparation time upon receipt of the Judiciary of Guam’s responses to the IFB questions.

Response: The Judiciary will not be able to extend IFB 17-10 and the date of Bid submission will remain as stated in the bid at **2:00 p.m. on August 14, 2017.**



ROBERT S. CRUZ,
Acting Administrator of the Court

cc: PFMA
Bid File