

FILED

2012 JUN 11 AM 11:17

SUPREME COURT  
OF GUAM

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF GUAM

IN RE: ) Supreme Court Case No.: ADC09-005  
 )  
 ) ORDER OF DISBARMENT  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )

DANILO AGUILAR,

On May 15, 2012, the Guam Bar Ethics Committee (GBEC) submitted to the Clerk of Court's Office an order of disbarment by reciprocal discipline recommending that Respondent Danilo Aguilar be disbarred from the practice of law in Guam. Pursuant to Rule 2 of the Supreme Court of Guam Rules for the Discipline of Attorneys ("Disciplinary Rules"), the Clerk of the Supreme Court served notice to Respondent of the GBEC's submission, and further notified Respondent that he shall have twenty (20) days from the date of the submission of the GBEC's proposed order for disbarment to file a statement of objection challenging the GBEC's findings of fact, conclusions of law, and/or proposed discipline.

The twenty (20) days lapsed without Respondent filing a statement of objection. Rule 2 provides that "[i]n the absence of such objection, the Clerk of the Supreme Court will enter the proposed order as a final judgment of the Court." See Disciplinary Rules, Rule 2. Therefore, the court hereby enters the following findings of fact and order of discipline:

- (1) On October 18, 2011, Respondent was disbarred from the practice of law in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands based on Respondent's conviction in the United States District Court of Guam of felonies involving moral turpitude;
- (2) Rule 16 of the Supreme Court of Guam's Rules for the Discipline of Attorneys sets forth the process for the imposition of reciprocal discipline, and the burden is upon Respondent to clearly establish that reciprocal discipline should not be imposed;
- (3) Respondent was duly notified of the Order to Show Cause, and did not file with the GBEC a response to the Order to Show Cause ten (10) days prior to the hearing, as provided by Rule 16(a) of the Disciplinary Rules;

ORIGINAL

20121605

1 (4) Respondent appeared at the hearing and contested the entry of reciprocal discipline on  
2 the basis that grave injustice would result by the imposition of discipline by the  
3 GBEC;

4 (5) Respondent did not contest the Order to Show Cause on any other criteria set forth by  
5 Rule 16(c) of the Disciplinary Rules;

6 (6) Although Respondent appeared at the hearing and asserted that the imposition of  
7 reciprocal discipline would constitute "grave injustice," he failed to provide any  
8 factual basis or argument, or offer any evidence, supporting his defense.

9 (7) Respondent did not clearly establish that grave injustice would result by the  
10 imposition of reciprocal discipline.

11 **THEREFORE**, pursuant to Rule 16(d) of the Disciplinary Rules, the court has reviewed  
12 the order of discipline proposed by the GBEC and hereby enters such as this court's **FINAL**  
13 **JUDGMENT OF DISBARMENT**, and hereby **ORDERS** that Respondent Danilo Aguilar be  
14 disbarred from the practice of law in Guam effective as of the date indicated below.

15 **SO ORDERED** this 11<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2012.

16 

17 **ROBERT J. TORRES**  
18 Associate Justice

19 

20 **KATHERINE A. MARAMAN**  
21 Associate Justice

22 

23 **F. PHILIP CARBULLIDO**  
24 Chief Justice